1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DESIREE I. KELLOGG	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 126461	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800	
	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9429	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 6879
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	EVELYN ANGELICA RUBALCAVA AKA EVELYN ANGELICA RUBALCAVA GOMEZ	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
<ul><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul>	Pharmacy Technician Registration Applicant	
18	Respondent.	
19		
20	<u>PARTIES</u>	
21	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official	
22	capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of	
23	Consumer Affairs.	
24	2. On or about May 21, 2018, the Board received an application for a Pharmacy	
25	Technician Registration from Evelyn Angelica Rubalcava, also known as Evelyn Angelica	
26	Rubalcava Gomez (Respondent). On or about May 15, 2018, Evelyn Angelica Rubalcava	
27	certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and	
28	representations in the application. The Board den	nied the application on October 9, 2019.
20	11	

### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Board under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 4300, subdivision (c) of the Code states, in pertinent part, that "The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct."

### STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Section 475 of the Code states:
- (a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the denial of licenses on the grounds of:
- (1) Knowingly making a false statement of material fact, or knowingly omitting to state a material fact, in an application for a license.
  - (2) Conviction of a crime.
- (3) Commission of any act involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or another, or substantially injure another.
- (4) Commission of any act which, if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.
- (b) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this code, the provisions of this division shall govern the suspension and revocation of licenses on grounds specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (a).
- (c) A license shall not be denied, suspended, or revoked on the grounds of a lack of good moral character or any similar ground relating to an applicant's character, reputation, personality, or habits.
- 6. Section 480 of the Code states:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4, 1203.4a, or 1203.41 of the Penal Code.
- (2) Done any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit with the intent to substantially benefit himself or herself or another, or substantially injure another.

///

28

#### 9. Section 493 of the Code states:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in a proceeding conducted by a board within the department pursuant to law to deny an application for a license or to suspend or revoke a license or otherwise take disciplinary action against a person who holds a license, upon the ground that the applicant or the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question, the record of conviction of the crime shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred, but only of that fact, and the board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime in order to fix the degree of discipline or to determine if the conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of the licensee in question.

As used in this section, "license" includes "certificate," "permit," "authority," and "registration."

(c) This section shall become inoperative on July 1, 2020, and, as of January 1, 2021, is repealed.

#### 10. Section 4301 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:

. . .

(f) The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

. . .

(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

- (k) The conviction of more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any dangerous drug or alcoholic beverage, or any combination of those substances.
- (*l*) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or

dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . . .

### **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

- 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1769 states:
- (a) When considering the denial of a facility or personal license under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code, the board, in evaluating the rehabilitation of the applicant and his present eligibility for licensing or registration, will consider the following criteria:
- (1) The nature and severity of the act(s) or offense(s) under consideration as grounds for denial.
- (2) Evidence of any act(s) committed subsequent to the act(s) or crime(s) under consideration as grounds for denial under Section 480 of the Business and Professions Code
- (3) The time that has elapsed since commission of the act(s) or crime(s) referred to in subdivision (1) or (2).
- (4) Whether the applicant has complied with any terms of parole, probation, restitution or any other sanctions lawfully imposed against the applicant.
  - (5) Evidence, if any, of rehabilitation submitted by the applicant.

. . . .

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770 states:

For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

25 ///

24

26 ///

27 | ///

28 /

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

## 

### 

# 

# 

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

### (Acts Involving Dishonesty, Fraud, and Deceit)

- 13. Respondent's application is subject to denial under section 480, subdivision(a)(2) in that she committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud, and deceit with the intent to substantially benefit herself. The circumstances are as follows:
- a. On or about the afternoon of May 8, 2017, the Hemet Police Department was dispatched to a JC Penney department store in reference to an employee embezzlement investigation. Upon arrival, officers spoke to the store's loss prevention officer who stated that she had been investigating an employee (Respondent) suspected of embezzlement for the previous 90 days. Officers spoke to Respondent who admitted that she would bring in receipts from past purchases at the store, and perform a return transaction. The return was credited to her credit card, but she did not return the merchandise. Respondent further stated that she would use other employees' identification numbers to perform the aforementioned returns to avoid getting caught. Respondent told the officers that she embezzled from the store because she was having financial difficulties and needed the money. The total approximate loss to the store was \$1,250. Respondent was arrested and booked for embezzlement.
- b. As a result of the arrest, on June 13, 2017, the Riverside County District Attorney filed a complaint charging Respondent with misdemeanor grand theft in excess of \$400 (Pen. Code, § 487(a)). On December 15, 2017, Respondent pled guilty to the charge. The court deferred entry of judgment for a period of 100 days so that Respondent could complete the court's Deferred Entry of Judgment Program. On March 15, 2018, the court found that Respondent successfully completed the program. The plea of guilty was set aside, and the complaint and case were dismissed.

## SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

### (June 12, 2019 Criminal Conviction for DUI on January 10, 2019)

14. Respondent's application for licensure is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a registered pharmacy

technician. Said conviction would be a ground for discipline under section 4301, subdivision (*l*) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

- a. On or about June 12, 2019 in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California vs. Evelyn Angelica Rubalcavagomez, aka Evelyn Angelica Rubalcava*, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number BAM1901086, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or higher, a misdemeanor. In exchange for the plea, the court dismissed an additional count of driving under the influence of alcohol (Veh. Code, § 23152(a)). Respondent was granted summary probation for 36 months, and committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 18 days, with pre-custody credit for one day, to be served in the Work Release Program. Respondent was ordered to install an Ignition Interlock Device on her vehicle for 12 months, and complete an 18-month Offender Drinking Driver Program.
- b. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about January 10, 2019, at approximately 2 a.m., California Highway Patrol (CHP) officers observed Respondent driving her vehicle in an unsafe manner. After conducting an enforcement stop, the CHP officers observed that Respondent's eyes were red and watery. After directing Respondent out of her vehicle, the CHP officers conducted a series of pre-Field Sobriety Test questions. Respondent had a strong odor of alcohol on her breath. Respondent was unable to complete the Field Sobriety Tests as explained and demonstrated by the CHP officers. Respondent provided two breath samples that were analyzed by the Preliminary Alcohol Screening device with a BAC of .180 and .166 percent, respectively. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence.

### THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

### (June 12, 2019 Criminal Conviction for DUI on February 24, 2019)

15. Respondent's application for licensure is subject to denial under section 480, subdivisions (a)(1) and (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted of a crime that is substantially related to the qualifications, duties, and functions of a registered pharmacy technician. Said conviction would be a ground for discipline under section 4301, subdivision (*l*) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. The circumstances are as follows:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

On or about June 12, 2019 in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State* a. of California vs. Evelyn Angelica Rubalcavagomez, aka Evelyn Angelica Rubalcava, in Riverside County Superior Court, case number BAM1901864, Respondent was convicted on her plea of guilty to violating Vehicle Code section 23152, subdivision (b), driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 percent or higher, a misdemeanor. Respondent admitted, and the court found true, that her BAC was 0.15 percent or more, within the meaning of Vehicle Code section 23578. In exchange for the plea, the court dismissed an additional count of driving under the influence of alcohol (Veh. Code, § 23152(a)). Respondent was granted summary probation for 60 months, and committed to the custody of the Riverside County Sheriff for 30 days, with pre-custody credit for one day. The 29 days were to be served in the Work Release Program. Respondent was ordered to wear a Continuous Alcohol Monitoring device for 60 days, complete a MADD program, install an Ignition Interlock Device on her vehicle for 12 months, and complete an 18-month Offender Drinking Driver Program. The sentence was ordered to run concurrently with the sentence imposed in case number BAM1901086, described in paragraph 14, above.

b. The facts that led to the conviction are that on or about February 24, 2019, at approximately 1 a.m., Hemet Police Department patrol officers were traveling on a northbound road when Respondent, traveling in the opposite direction, swerved into their lane and nearly collided with their patrol vehicle. The officers proceeded following Respondent and observed her driving in an unsafe manner. After conducting an enforcement stop, the officers directed Respondent out of her vehicle. The officers observed that Respondent exhibited the signs and symptoms of being under the influence of alcohol: slow/slurred speech, watery eyes, a slightly unsteady gait, and a mild odor of alcohol on her breath. Respondent was unable to complete the Field Sobriety Tests as explained and demonstrated by the officers. Respondent provided two breath samples that were analyzed by the Preliminary Alcohol Screening device with a BAC of .167 and .160 percent, respectively. Respondent was arrested for driving under the influence.

27

28 | ///

///

### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION 1 (Two Misdemeanor Convictions Involving the Consumption of Alcohol) 2 16. Respondent's application for licensure is subject to denial under section 480, 3 subdivision (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that she was convicted of two separate misdemeanor 4 5 offenses involving the consumption of alcohol, as described in paragraphs 14 and 15, above, which are incorporated herein by reference. Said convictions would be grounds for discipline 6 7 under section 4301, subdivision (k) of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. FIFTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION 8 9 (Dangerous Use of Alcohol) 17. Respondent's application for licensure is subject to denial under section 480, 10 subdivision (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that on or about January 10, 2019, and February 24, 2019, as 11 described in paragraphs 14 and 15, above, and incorporated herein by reference, Respondent used 12 alcohol in a manner that was dangerous and injurious to herself and to others, which would be a 13 ground for discipline under section 4301, subdivision (h) of the Code for a registered pharmacy 14 technician. 15 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION 16 (Unprofessional Conduct) 17 18. Respondent's application for licensure is subject to denial under section 480, 18 subdivision (a)(3)(A) of the Code in that her conduct, as described in paragraphs 13-17 above, 19 which are incorporated herein by reference, was unprofessional, and would be a ground for 20 discipline under section 4301 of the Code for a registered pharmacy technician. 21 /// 22 /// 23 24 /// 25 26 27

28

## **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 1. Denying the application of Evelyn Angelica Rubalcava, also known as Evelyn Angelica Rubalcava Gomez, for a Pharmacy Technician Registration; 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. DATED: January 21, 2020 Interim Executive Officer **Board of Pharmacy** Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant SD2020700052 72105646.docx