1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
	KENT D. HARRIS	
2	Supervising Deputy Attorney General STANTON W. LEE	
3	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 203563	
4	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255	
5	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 445-9921	
6	Facsimile: (916) 324-5567 Attorneys for Complainant	
7		DE THE
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFEA IDS	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 6867
12	JOSEPH M. ENSSLIN VARGAS	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	aka JOSEPH M. ENSSLINVARGAS	STATEMENT OF ISSUES
14	Pharmacy Technician Applicant.	
15	Respondent.	
16		
16 17	Anne Sodergren (Complainant) alleges:	
		<u>TIES</u>
17	PAR	TIES f Issues solely in her official capacity as the
17 18	PAR	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the
17 18 19	PAR 1. Complainant brings this Statement o Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the
17 18 19 20	PAR 1. Complainant brings this Statement o Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs.
17 18 19 20 21	PAR 1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas
17 18 19 20 21 22	PAR 1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, a	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PAR 1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, at (Respondent). On or about July 25, 2019, Respondent	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PAR 1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, at (Respondent). On or about July 25, 2019, Respondent truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations.	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PAR 1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, at (Respondent). On or about July 25, 2019, Respondent truthfulness of all statements, answers, and represent the application on October 9, 2019.	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	PAR 1. Complainant brings this Statement of Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Pharm 2. On or about July 29, 2019, the Board technician license from Joseph Ensslin Vargas, at (Respondent). On or about July 25, 2019, Respondent truthfulness of all statements, answers, and represent the application on October 9, 2019. ///	f Issues solely in her official capacity as the acy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs. I received an application for a pharmacy also known as Joseph Ensslinvargas and ondent certified under penalty of perjury to the

(JOSEPH M. ENSSLIN VARGAS aka JOSEPH M. ENSSLINVARGAS) STATEMENT OF ISSUES

3. hearing to appeal the denial. 4. 5. 6.

JURISDICTION

- 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code (Code) section 485(b), on or about October 9, 2019, Respondent's application was denied and he was notified of the right to a hearing to appeal the denial.
- 4. On or about November 19, 2019, the Board received Respondent's request for a hearing to appeal the denial of his application.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Code section 480 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A board may deny a license regulated by this code on the grounds that the applicant has one of the following:
- (1) Been convicted of a crime. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action that a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code.

(3) Done any act that if done by a licentiate of the business or profession in question, would be grounds for suspension or revocation of license.

The board may deny a license pursuant to this subdivision only if the crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which application is made.

- (b) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, a person shall not be denied a license solely on the basis that he or she has been convicted of a felony if he has obtained a certificate of rehabilitation under Section 4852.01 and following of the Penal Code or that he has been convicted of a misdemeanor if he has met all applicable requirements of the criteria of rehabilitation developed by the board to evaluate the rehabilitation of a person when considering the denial of a license under subdivision (a) of Section 482.
- 6. Code section 4300 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The board may refuse a license to any applicant guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board may, in its sole discretion, issue a probationary license to any applicant for a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct and who has met all other requirements for licensure. The board may issue the license subject to any terms or conditions not contrary to public policy, including, but not limited to, the following:

1	(1) Medical or psychiatric evaluation.	
2	(2) Continuing medical or psychiatric treatment.	
3	(3) Restriction of type or circumstances of practice.	
4	(4) Continuing participation in a board-approved rehabilitation program.	
5	(5) Abstention from the use of alcohol or drugs.	
6	(6) Random fluid testing for alcohol or drugs.	
7	(7) Compliance with laws and regulations governing the practice of pharmacy.	
8		
9	7. Code section 4301 states, in pertinent part:	
10 11	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following:	
12		
13	(h) The administering to oneself, of any controlled substance, or the use of any dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be	
14 15	dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.	
16		
17	(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,	
18	functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this	
19	states code regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall	
20	be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to	
21	fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense	
22	substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo	
23	contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of	
24	conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under	
2526	Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or	
27	dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.	
۱ ۵		

FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Conviction of a Crime)

8. Respondent's application is subject to denial under Code section 480(a)(1), in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a pharmacy technician. More specifically, on or about July 10, 2019, in the case of People v. Joseph M. Ensslin Vargas (Super. Ct. San Joaquin County, Case No. CR-2019-0006916), Respondent was convicted by the Court of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b) (Driving Under the Influence of Alcohol with a Blood Alcohol Level above 0.08%), a misdemeanor. The circumstances of the crime were that on or about March 23, 2019 at 0224 hours, an officer with the California Highway Patrol responded to a report regarding a traffic collision on southbound Highway 99, north of Jack Tone Road. Upon arrival at the scene and investigation of the collision, the Officer determined that Respondent was driving while intoxicated and made an unsafe turning movement resulting in his collision with the highway's center divide wall. Although Respondent denied driving at the time of the collision, an abrasion on the shoulder of Respondent was consistent with a driver-side seat belt injury while the passenger seat belt, which was locked in the fully retracted position, was consistent with the passenger of the vehicle not wearing a seat belt and the passenger having no abrasions consistent with wearing a seatbelt. This was also consistent with the vehicle passenger's statement that Respondent was driving at the time of the accident. The Officer observed Respondent to have such heavily slurred speech that the officer could not understand Respondent's statement. Respondent also had an extremely strong odor of alcohol on his breath and person, red and watery eyes. Respondent failed field sobriety tests, was unable to stand without assistance and was arrested for driving under the influence of alcohol. His tested blood alcohol content at the time was recorded to be 0.21% and 0.19%. /// /// /// ///